Habitat suitability modelling for sardine juveniles (Sardina pilchardus) in the Mediterranean Sea
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ABSTRACT
Identification of potential juvenile grounds of short-lived species such as European sardine (Sardina pilchardus) in relation to the environment is a crucial issue for effective management. In the current work, habitat suitability modelling was applied to acoustic data derived from both the western and eastern part of the Mediterranean Sea. Early summer acoustic data of sardine juveniles were modelled using generalized additive models along with satellite environmental and bathymetry data. Selected models were used to construct maps that exhibit the probability of presence in the study areas, as well as throughout the entire Mediterranean basin, as a measure of habitat adequacy. Areas with high probability of supporting sardine juvenile presence persistently within the study period were identified throughout the Mediterranean Sea. Furthermore, within the study period, a positive relationship was found between suitable habitat extent and the changes in abundance of sardine juveniles in each study area.
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INTRODUCTION
During the last decade, the implementation of fisheries management measures has been related to the reduction of fishing pressure on fish juveniles and their habitats. It is recognised within the latest European Common Fishery Policy that to maintain the integrity, structure and functioning of ecosystems, safeguarding of fish nursery areas is necessary. In the Mediterranean, recent stock assessments show that over 50% of stocks are overexploited (Cardinale et al., 2010). This makes the collection of information on juveniles and spawning grounds for demersal and small pelagic species a necessity for effective management measures.

The European sardine (Sardina pilchardus) is a short-lived, fast-growing and highly fecund pelagic fish species. The majority of individuals become mature during their first year of life. Spawning in the Mediterranean takes place during winter with a second peak occurring during March, largely depending on temperature (Ganias et al., 2007). Therefore, spring and summer correspond to periods with high abundance of sardine juveniles. The majority of existing studies addressing the issue of the spatial distribution of sardine in relation to the environment refer mostly to major upwelling areas or the Bay of Biscay in the northeast Atlantic waters (Barange et al., 1999, 2009; Bellier et al., 2007; Planque et al., 2007; Checkley et al., 2009 and references therein). This sort of information is generally lacking for the Mediterranean.

In the Mediterranean, information on sardine distribution grounds mainly derives from standard stock assessment surveys that are regularly held in the European part of the basin. Such surveys in the Gulf of Lions (western part of the basin) and in the North Aegean Sea (eastern part of the basin) are carried out on an annual basis during early summer (Bigot, 2009; Giannoulaki et al., 2009). Existing habitat studies focus mainly on sardine adults (Giannoulaki et al., 2007; Bellido et al., 2008) whereas the identification
of juvenile grounds is an issue that is rarely addressed (Tsagarakis et al., 2008). However, juveniles are much more vulnerable to environmental changes compared to adults and are a better index of stock status when it comes to short-lived, small pelagic species like the sardine. Therefore, the main objective of the current work was to model the spatial distribution of sardine juveniles along with environmental parameters on a regional scale. In a subsequent step, the intention was to use this modelled relationship to construct probability maps for the study areas as well as for the entire Mediterranean basin as a measure of habitat adequacy.

For this purpose, habitat suitability modelling that links species location information to environmental data (e.g. Guisan and Zimmermann, 2000; Francis et al., 2005; Planque et al., 2007) was applied. The idea was to select simple, robust but biologically meaningful and effective habitat models (Hilborn and Mangel, 1997) that are based on bathymetry and satellite environmental data. This would allow the application of model results over a wider spatial scale. Satellite environmental data were chosen as they are flexible and dynamic in space and time, allowing estimates on various temporal and spatial scales, operate as proxies or surrogates to causal factors and from which we can infer spatial variations of environmental factors.

Selected models were used to construct maps of sardine nursery grounds that show the probability of sardine juvenile presence in the study areas as well as throughout the entire Mediterranean basin, as a measure of habitat adequacy. This is of special ecological interest for the Mediterranean. The basin, although it is generally considered oligotrophic, presents high heterogeneity in hydrology and large differences of productivity between the western and eastern part of the basin (Lejeusne et al., 2010). Moreover, in the face of future climate change, mapping sardine nurseries throughout the basin allows us to identify areas that could be more susceptible to climate warming than others. The temporal persistence of areas indicated to be juvenile grounds within the study period might also aid effective management decisions.

Finally, on a local scale within each study area where appropriate data were available, we examined the relationship between the annual change in the spatial extent of sardine potential nursery grounds and the abundance of sardine juveniles, in order to investigate possible density-dependent effects that are known to occur in small pelagic fish populations (Barange et al., 2009).

**The study areas**

The Gulf of Lions (Fig. 1) is one of the most productive zones in the western Mediterranean Sea owing to a number of hydrographic features such as the existence of wide continental shelf, river run-off and strong vertical mixing during winter. Occasional coastal upwelling is generated by local wind systems and complex orographic effects (Millot, 1990; Lloret et al., 2001; Forget and André, 2007).

The North Aegean Sea is characterized by high hydrological complexity mostly related to the Black Sea waters (BSW) that enter the Aegean Sea through the Dardanelles Strait as a surface current, the Limnos-Imvros Stream (LIS; Zervakis and Georgopoulos, 2002; Fig. 1b). The outflow of BSW enhances local productivity and its advection in the Aegean Sea induces high hydrological and biological complexity (Isari et al., 2006; Somarakis and Nikoloudakis, 2007). This is further enhanced by the presence of a series of large rivers that outflow into semi-closed gulfs (Isari et al., 2006).

The Adriatic Sea is an elongated basin located in the Central Mediterranean. Its northern section is very shallow, gently sloping, with an average bottom depth of about 35 m and a large number of rivers discharging into it. The main outflow input derives from the Po River in the northern part, creating high nutrient concentrations along the coast. In contrast, the eastern coastal waters present moderate production. The general circulation is cyclonic, with a northwest flow along the eastern coast (East Adriatic Current) and a return southeast flow (West Adriatic Current) along the west coast (Artegiani et al., 1997a,b).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Acoustic sampling**

Acoustic data from standard monitoring stock assessment surveys were used to model the presence of sardine juveniles during the summer in the Gulf of Lions, the North Aegean Sea and the southwestern part of the Adriatic Sea. Acoustic sampling was performed by means of scientific split-beam echosounders (Simrad EK500 and Biosonic DT-X depending on the survey) operating at 38 kHz and calibrated following standard techniques (Foote et al., 1987). Data were recorded at a constant speed of 8–10 nmi h⁻¹. Minimum sampling depth varied between 10 and 20 m depending on the area. The size of the elementary distance sampling unit (EDSU) was one nautical mile (nmi, 1.852 km). Midwater pelagic trawl sampling was used to identify
sardine juvenile echo traces. Sardine specimens smaller than 125 mm were considered juveniles, as this approximates the length of first maturity for the European sardine in the Mediterranean (Somarakis et al., 2006; Ganias et al., 2007). Sardine juvenile echo discrimination was based on the characteristic echogram shape of the schools and the catch composition of pelagic trawling that was held in the study area (Simmonds and MacLennan, 2005). Acoustic data analysis was done with MYRIAX ECHOVIEW software in the North Aegean Sea and the Adriatic, whereas MOVIES+ software was used in the Gulf of Lions. Further details on acoustic sampling per study area are given below.

In the Gulf of Lions, data were collected on board the R/V \textit{l'Europe} during July 2003–2008 (Fig. 1a). Acoustic surveys were carried out along predetermined parallel transects, perpendicular to bathymetry with 12 nmi inter-transect distance. Records of each EDSU were combined following the method outlined in Petitgas et al. (2003) to allocate fractions of the total energy recorded (NASC: nautical area scattering coefficient) in terms of biomass to the various species captured in the trawl. For each EDSU, this biomass was reallocated in terms of the number of individuals per size and age according to the mean weight observed in the reference trawl. In the North Aegean Sea, acoustic data were collected on board the R/V \textit{Philia} during June 2004–2006 and 2008 along predetermined parallel transects with 10 nmi inter-transect distance in open areas, whereas zigzag transects were sampled inside gulfs (Fig. 1). Details of the surveys, sampling methodology and data collected are described elsewhere (Giannoulaki et al., 2008). Moreover, acoustic data were collected during July 2007 and 2008 in a smaller part of North Aegean Sea.
within the framework of acoustic surveys targeted for sardine juveniles (Fig. 1b). Sardine juvenile abundance and distribution based on these acoustic estimates show a large degree of interannual variability in both the Gulf of Lions and North Aegean Sea study areas (Figs 2 and 3). However, the highest abundances and main concentrations were located in the inner, northwestern part of the Gulf of Lions and the north part of the Thracian Sea.

Complementary data from one acoustic survey, held in the southwest part of the Adriatic Sea, were used to evaluate the estimated models. Data were collected on board the R/V Dallaporta during July 2008 along predetermined parallel transects perpendicular to the coastline with 10 nmi inter-transect distance and 8 nmi where the continental shelf is narrow (Fig. 1d; Leonori et al., 2009, 2010).

Environmental data

Satellite environmental data as well as bathymetry data were used to model the habitat of sardine juveniles in respect to environmental conditions. The Mediterranean Sea is an area well monitored in terms of monthly satellite imagery (summarized in Table 1). Specifically, the sea surface temperature distribution (SST in °C), the sea surface chlorophyll concentration (Chl a in mg m⁻³), the photosynthetically active radiation [PAR in Einstein m⁻² day⁻¹, 1 Einstein (Ein) = 1 mole of photons], the sea surface salinity distribution (SSS in psu based on the BCC GODAS model, Behringer and Xue, 2004) and the sea level anomaly (SLA in cm) were downloaded from respective databases (see Table 1) and used. These environmental variables have the potential to summarize important surrogate predictor variables that are not captured by the available satellite variables. All monthly averaged satellite images from daily measurements were processed as regular grids under a GIS (geographic information systems) environment using ARCINFO GRID software (ESRI, 1994). Thus, mean environmental monthly values for June and July of each respective year were assigned to each survey point based on a spatial resolution of 1.5 km (Valavanis et al., 2008).

Data analysis

Model estimation. Generalized additive models (GAMs) were applied to define the set of the environmental factors that describe sardine juvenile distribution grounds in the North Aegean Sea during
June and in the Gulf of Lions during July. The main advantage of GAMs over traditional regression methods is their capability to model non-linearities using non-parametric smoothers (Hastie and Tibshirani, 1990; Wood, 2006). The selection of the GAMs smoothing predictors followed the method proposed by Wood and Augustin (2002), using the 'MGCV' library in the R statistical software (R Development Core Team, 2008). Each model fit was analyzed in regard to the level of deviance explained (0–100%; the higher the percentage, the more deviance explained), Akaike’s information criterion (AIC, the lower the better) and the confidence region for the fit (which should not include zero throughout the range of the predictor). The degree of smoothing was chosen based on the observed data and the generalized cross validation (GCV) method suggested by Wood (2006) and incorporated in the ‘MGCV’ library. The GCV method is known to over fit, therefore the amount that the effective degree of freedom of each model counts in the GCV score was increased by a factor $c = 1.4$ (Katsanevakis et al., 2009).

Autocorrelation was evident in the spatial structure of acoustic data in both areas. Spatial autocorrelation is known to inflate the perceived ability of models to make realistic predictions favouring autocorrelated variables (Segurado et al., 2006), although GAMs are not that much influenced by the effect of autocorrelation compared to other methodologies like GLMs (Segurado et al., 2006). However, to avoid this effect, we adjusted the Type I error rate by setting the accepted significance level for each term at the more conservative value of 1%, rather than the usual 5% (Fortin and Dale, 2005). Removing autocorrelation by means of sub-sampling, taking into account the observed autocorrelation range as a ‘distance to independence’, was not considered an option. This would be very wasteful of data (Fortin and Dale, 2005) and may result in a non-applicable model for mapping probabilities of habitat adequacy.

Models were constructed based on (i) pooled data from both the Gulf of Lions and North Aegean Sea, including the factorial variable of the monthly effect and based on (ii) data from each area and month, separately (i.e. the Gulf of Lions in July and the North Aegean Sea in June). For each case, a final model was built by testing all variables that were considered biologically meaningful, starting from a simple initial model with one explanatory variable. The best model was selected based on the minimization of the AIC score. This approach reduces the collinearity problem in the independent variables (Sacau et al., 2005). Specifically, as response variable ($y$), we used the presence/absence of sardine juveniles. As independent variables ($x$ covariates), we used the cube root of the bottom depth (to achieve a uniform distribution of bottom depth), the natural logarithm of Chl $a$ (to achieve a uniform distribution of Chl $a$), SST, SSS, SLA and PAR. Original values of bottom depth and Chl $a$ were highly variable, thus transformation was necessary to achieve uniform distributions for GAM application (Hastie and Tibshirani, 1990).

The binomial error distribution with the logit link function was used and the natural cubic spline smoother (Hastie and Tibshirani, 1990) was applied for independent variable smoothing and GAM fitting. Following the selection of the main effects of the model, all first-order interactions of the main effects were tested (Wood, 2006). Validation graphs (e.g. residuals versus fitted values, QQ-plots and residuals versus the original explanatory variables)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Environmental satellite variables and their characteristics. Measurements are extracted from the respective databases daily and, in a next step, averaged on a monthly basis.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variable</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea surface chlorophyll-a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea surface temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Photosynthetically active radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea level anomaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sea surface salinity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

were plotted to detect any pattern and possible model misspecification. Residuals were also checked for autocorrelation. The output of the final selected GAMs is presented as plots of the best-fitting smooths. Interaction effects are shown as a perspective plot without error bounds.

Model validation. In a subsequent step, each final model was tested and evaluated for its predictive performance. For this purpose, we estimated the receiver operating characteristic curve (ROC; Hanley and McNeil, 1982; Guisan and Zimmermann, 2000) and the AUC metric, the area under the ROC. AUC is a threshold-independent metric, widely used in the species' distribution modelling literature (Franklin, 2009; Weber and McClatchie, 2010). Sensitivity (i.e. the proportion of observed positives that are correctly predicted) and specificity values (i.e. the proportion of observed negatives that are correctly predicted) were also used for model evaluation (Lobo et al., 2008). They were measured in relation to two threshold criteria: (i) the maximization of the specificity-sensitivity sum (MDT) and (ii) the prevalence values (Jimenez-Valverde and Lobo, 2006; Lobo et al., 2008). All metrics were also estimated for areas and periods not included in model selection: (i) both the North and South Evoikos Gulf (Aegean Sea) in June 2004 and June 2008, (ii) the Gulf of Lions in July 2006 and 2007 and (iii) the western part of South Adriatic Sea in July 2008. New data sets of mean monthly satellite values, estimated for each sampled coordinate, were used for this purpose. A specific probability of habitat adequacy for sardine juveniles was estimated for each geographic coordinate. All metrics estimation was performed using the 'Presence/Absence' library of R statistical language.

Mapping. Based on validation results, the selected single-month models were applied in a predictive mode to provide probability estimates and habitat adequacy over a grid of mean monthly satellite values at a GIS resolution of 4 km, the best resolution available for satellite environmental data at a large scale, covering the entire Mediterranean basin. Subsequently, annual habitat suitability maps were constructed for June and July 2004–2008. GIS techniques were used to estimate the mean of these annual maps, summarizing the mean average probability estimates at each grid point. Similarly, the variability map, representing the inter-annual variability in nursery grounds, was also produced, estimating the standard deviation of the annual maps from 2004 to 2008.

Additionally, maps indicating areas that persistently represented juvenile grounds within the study period were drawn. For this purpose, for each grid cell (at a spatial resolution of 4 km) in the entire Mediterranean Sea, we calculated an index of persistence ($I_i$), measuring the relative persistence of the cell $i$ as an annual sardine nursery (Fiorentino et al., 2003; Colloca et al., 2009). Let $\delta_{ik} = 1$ if the grid cell $i$ is included in a sardine nursery in year $k$ and in survey $j$, and $\delta_{ik} = 0$ if the grid cell is not included. We computed $I_i$ as follows:

$$I_i = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \delta_{ik}$$

where $n$ is the number of surveys considered. $I_i$ ranges between 0 (cell $i$ never included in an annual sardine nursery area) and 1 (cell $i$ always included in an annual sardine nursery area) for each cell in the study area.

Preferential and occasional nursery grounds were defined following Bellier et al. (2007) based on average, variability and persistence maps. Based on Bellier et al. (2007) a habitat allocation map was created indicating: (i) recurrent juvenile sites – areas with high mean, low standard deviation values and high persistence index, (ii) occasional juvenile sites – areas with high mean and high standard deviation values (sardine juveniles are present in some years but not in others in these areas) and (iii) rare juvenile sites – areas with low mean and low standard deviation values (sardine juveniles are rarely present in these areas). The Surfer v8.0 of the Golden Software Inc. software was used for mapping.

Juvenile abundance versus potential habitat. Within the current work we also examined the relationship between the change in the extent of ‘hot spot areas’ (i.e. potential habitat area with high probability of sardine juvenile presence $>0.75$, defined as A075) and the change in abundance of sardine juveniles in each study area for the study period. For this purpose, in each study area (i.e. Gulf of Lions, Adriatic Sea and North Aegean Sea, Fig. 1) we calculated the number of grid cells presenting high probability of sardine juvenile presence (i.e. $>0.75$). The abundance of sardine juveniles (i.e. annual estimates of the number of age 0 fish) was derived either from age structure stock assessment models (Cardinale et al., 2009; North Aegean and Adriatic Seas) or from acoustic estimates of sardine juveniles in the case of Gulf of Lions, when no stock assessment model was available. Abundance estimates and the extent of ‘hot spot areas’ (i.e. extent of A075) were both standardized and expressed as the
% difference from the mean values per region in order to assure compatibility between areas.

RESULTS

Habitat modelling

Pooled model: Gulf of Lions and North Aegean Sea. GAMs based on the pooled data from both areas are presented on Table 2. Plots of the best fitting smooths showed a higher probability of finding sardine juveniles present in SST values of 21.5–24.5°C, Chl a values of 0.345–2.718 mg m$^{-3}$ (Fig. 4). The interaction plot between Depth and SLA also indicated a higher probability of finding sardine juveniles present in shallow waters (<65 m) when coexisting with SLA values of between 0 and –5 cm (Fig. 4).

Table 2. Final GAM models selected. Analysis of deviance for GAM covariates and their interactions of the final model fitted. Level of significance was set to 0.05. June data refer to North Aegean Sea, July data refer to Gulf of Lions. Residual d.f., residual degrees of freedom; AIC, Akaike information criterion value; P-value, chi-square, significance values; SST, sea surface temperature; Chl a, chlorophyll concentration (log-transformed); Depth, bathymetry (cubic root-transformed); SLA, sea level anomaly; PAR, photosynthetic active radiation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Residual d.f.</th>
<th>Residual deviance</th>
<th>Deviance explained</th>
<th>AIC</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pooled model</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June + July</td>
<td>s(Depth, SLA) + s(SST) + s(Chl a) + as.factor(Month)</td>
<td>1796.25</td>
<td>1202.39</td>
<td>48.4%</td>
<td>1275.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly models</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>s(Depth, SST) + s(SLA) + s(Chl a)</td>
<td>1297.85</td>
<td>1007.63</td>
<td>45.1%</td>
<td>1081.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>s(Depth,PAR) + s(SLA) + s(Chl a)</td>
<td>433.15</td>
<td>137.91</td>
<td>51.1%</td>
<td>201.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Gulf of Lions, July. The final selected GAM for July included as main effects: SLA, Chl a (log-transformed) and the interactive effect of Depth (cubic root-transformed) and SST (Table 2). Plots indicate a higher probability of finding sardine juveniles present in the highest available SLA values of –1 to 3 cm and Chl a values of 0.345–2.718 mg m$^{-3}$ (Fig. 4). The interaction plot between SST and Depth indicates a higher probability of finding sardine juveniles present in the highest available values of SST (20–26°C) when coexisting with shallower waters (<60 m) (Fig. 4).

North Aegean Sea, June. The final selected GAM included as main effects: SLA, Chl a (log-transformed) as well as the interactive effect of Depth (cubic root-transformed) and PAR (Table 2). Plots indicate a

Figure 3. Echo abundance index nautical area scattering coefficient (NASC in m$^2$ nm$^{-2}$) of sardine juveniles in the North Aegean Sea during June 2004, 2005, 2006, July 2007 and 2008. Sea level anomaly distribution (in cm) per respective study year is also shown.

higher probability of finding sardine juveniles present in SLA values of −6 to 0 cm and Chl a values of 0.47–1 mg m$^{-3}$. The interaction plot between Depth and PAR indicates a higher probability of finding sardine juveniles present in shallow waters (<65 m) when coexisting with PAR values of 48–56 Ein m$^{-2}$ day$^{-1}$ (Fig. 4).

In all cases, inspection of the residual plots versus fitted values and against the original explanatory variables indicated no pattern and no apparent trend.

Moreover, residuals were checked for spatial autocorrelation by means of geostatistics (Petitgas et al., 2003). Results either indicate no signs of spatial autocorrelation (i.e. pure random component) or very low levels of spatial autocorrelation (>86% random component).

**Model validation**

AUC generally showed good discrimination ability for all models as it exceeded on average 0.73 for the cases
that were not included in model selection (Table 3). The lowest prediction ability was observed for areas which presented a low percentage of sardine juvenile presence and very patchy spatial distribution of fish. Estimated specificity and sensitivity values based on MDT and prevalence values also indicated good discrimination ability for all models. Specificity values were generally higher than sensitivity ones, ranging from 0.77 to 0.96 thus indicating low omission error for all models (Table 3). As higher sensitivity values were estimated for the single month models, these models were selected for mapping the estimated probabilities of habitat adequacy.

Habitat suitability maps. Habitat suitability maps for the study regions generally revealed an agreement between potential nurseries and the observed distribution of sardine juveniles (Figs 2, 3, 5 and 6). The average, persistence and habitat allocation maps for the Mediterranean within the study period (Figs 7 and 8) identified certain areas that were consistently associated with high probability of sardine juvenile presence.

Table 3. Mean values of sensitivity and specificity accuracy measures ± standard error (sterr) for two threshold criteria: MDT (maximize the specificity-sensitivity sum) and prevalence values. The estimated area under the ROC curve (AUC) for each model is also indicated. (A) Areas and years included in model selection. (B) Areas and years not included in model selection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Areas</th>
<th>MDT sensitivity</th>
<th>MDT specificity</th>
<th>Prevalence sensitivity</th>
<th>Prevalence specificity</th>
<th>AUC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pooled July</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and June</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.44 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Annual habitat suitability maps indicating the probability of sardine juvenile presence in the Gulf of Lions, based on the GAM model from July in the same area. The GIS resolution for mean monthly satellite values used for prediction was 4 km. Scale indicates probability range.
Figure 6. Annual habitat suitability maps indicating the probability of sardine juvenile presence in the North Aegean Sea, based on the GAM model from June in the same area. The GIS resolution for mean monthly satellite values used for prediction was 4 km. Scale indicates probability range.

Figure 7. (a) Mean probability, (b) persistence index and (c) allocation maps concerning habitat suitability for the presence of sardine juveniles in the Mediterranean Sea for June. The GIS resolution for mean monthly satellite values used was 4 km for June 2004–2008. Numbers indicate toponyms mentioned in the text. 1 – Alboran Sea, 2 – Sicily Strait, 3 – Gabes Gulf, 4 – Nile Delta, 5 – Levantine basin, 6 – Cretan Sea, 7 – Aegean Sea, 8 – Tyrrhenian Sea, 9 – Island of Sardinia, 10 – Ligurian Sea, 11 – Catalan Sea, 12 – Gulf of Lions, 13 – Adriatic Sea, 14 – Dalmatian islands.
presence. In the Western Mediterranean, these areas were located in the Adriatic Sea, the Sicily Channel, the Tyrhenian Sea, the Gulf of Lions, Catalan and Alboran Seas (Figs 1, 7 and 8). Specifically, areas were indicated at the inner coastal waters of the Gulf of Lions, the northern part of the Adriatic Sea, the coastal waters of the western and eastern Adriatic, and the gulfs and coastal waters of the North Aegean Sea. Along the North Africa coast, suitable areas were indicated in the coastal waters of Morocco, Algeria, Tunisia and Libya (Figs 1, 7 and 8). In the Eastern Mediterranean, suitable areas were revealed in the Turkish coastal waters of the Aegean Sea and along the Egyptian coastline, mainly off the Nile River Delta.

Figure 8. (a) Mean probability, (b) persistence index and (c) allocation maps concerning habitat suitability for the presence of sardine juveniles in the Mediterranean Sea for July. The GIS resolution for mean monthly satellite values used was 4 km for July 2004–2008. Numbers indicate toponyms mentioned in the text. 1 – Alboran Sea, 2 – Sicily Strait, 3 – Gabes Gulf, 4 – Nile Delta, 5 – Levantine basin, 6 – Cretan Sea, 7 – Aegean Sea, 8 – Tyrrenhian Sea, 9 – Island of Sardinia, 10 – Ligurian Sea, 11 – Catalan Sea, 12 – Gulf of Lions, 13 – Adriatic Sea, 14 – Dalmatian islands.

Figure 9. Graph of the relationship between the standardized sardine juvenile abundance and the standardized extent of ‘hot spot areas’ (i.e. potential habitat area A075) in the Gulf of Lions, Adriatic Sea and North Aegean Sea. Standardized abundance = abundance estimates of sardine juveniles expressed as % difference from the mean values per region. Standardized A075 = extent of area A075 expressed as % difference from the mean values per region.

Juvenile abundance versus potential habitat. There was a significant positive relationship between the increase in juvenile abundance (i.e. standardized abundance of sardine juveniles) and the increase in habitat extent of 'hot spot areas' (i.e., standardized values of A075) (Fig. 9).

DISCUSSION

Our objective was to identify and map the juvenile grounds of the European sardine in the Mediterranean Sea during summer, based on environmental associations. Data from the western (Gulf of Lions) and the eastern part (North Aegean Sea) of the basin were used for this purpose.

In the study areas, the visual inspection of habitat adequacy maps indicated temporal and spatial variability in terms of suitable sites. Most persistent suitable locations were indicated in the inner, northwestern part of the Gulf of Lions as well as in the inner parts of the gulfs of the North Aegean Sea, where shallow, warm and productive waters exist (Figs 5 and 6). In the northwestern part of the Gulf of Lions, the presence of two large rivers, the Rhone and the Muga Rivers (Fig. 1), together with an existing upwelling along the coast (Forget and André, 2007) results in a local increase of productivity.

In the North Aegean Sea, the more consistent nursery locations were identified at the coastal areas of the gulfs (i.e., Theraikos Gulf, Strymonikos Gulf and North Evoikos Gulf) and the inner part of Thracian Sea - these areas are also under strong river influence. Also consistent was the area between the islands, subjected to the inflow of the Limnos-Imvros Stream (LIS) that carries nutrient-rich Black Sea Water into the Thracian Sea (Figs 1 and 6). This further enhances productivity through the generation of gyres and fronts (Somarakis et al., 2002; Zervakis and Georgopoulos, 2002). The indicated areas generally agree with the results of a preliminary study on sardine nurseries in Aegean Sea based on trawl catches (Tsagarakis et al., 2008). These findings also agree with the general argument that pelagic fish nurseries are located in areas of favourable food concentrations where oceanographic factors combine favourably within an optimal environmental window (Curry and Roy, 1989; Guisande et al., 2004; Fréon et al., 2005).

For a larger scale perspective, selected models were used to construct annual maps indicating the probability of habitat adequacy for sardine juvenile presence throughout the entire Mediterranean basin. The temporal variability of suitable nursery areas was addressed through the estimation of the mean and the variability map for June and July within the study period. Locations presenting high variability as sardine nurseries were the coastal waters of the North Alboran Sea, the Sicily Strait, the western part of the Italian Peninsula (i.e. the Ligurian and the Tyrrenian Sea and the area around the island of Sardinia), the Cretan Sea in Greek seas and areas along the coastline of the Levantine (Figs 1, 7 and 8). These areas seem to represent occasionally suitable positions for sardine juveniles, largely depending on the annual variability of environmental conditions.

On the other hand, certain locations were quite persistent. In the north part of the basin, besides the study regions, the most invariable nursery areas were located in the coastal waters of the northwestern part of the Adriatic and around the coastal waters of the mid-Dalmatian islands in the eastern part (Figs 1, 7 and 8). These areas coincide with known sardine distribution grounds in the Adriatic based on acoustic surveys (Ticina et al., 2005; Leonori et al., 2007a,b) as well as fishery information. The bianchetto (fry) fishery is an old established fishery, targeting anchovy and sardine juveniles in the southwestern part of the Adriatic (Morello and Arneri, 2009 and references therein). In Spanish waters, persistent nursery areas are located in the Catalan Sea as well as near the mouth of the Ebro River (Figs 1, 7 and 8). These areas coincide with known distribution grounds of sardine juveniles (Giráldez et al., 2005; Alemany et al., 2006). Similarly, in the south part of the basin along the North African coast where information on small pelagic nursery grounds is generally lacking, persistent areas are indicated in the coastal waters of Morocco and Algeria, the Gulf of Gabes in Tunisia and the Nile Delta area (Figs 1, 7 and 8). These areas match the distribution grounds of sardine, as landings information from local fisheries confirm (El Haweet, 2001; Ben Abdallah and Gaamour, 2005; Ramzi et al., 2006). Differences in the persistent/recurrent locations between June and July were mainly identified in the Western Mediterranean, the Strait of Sicily as well as the Levantine. In the Western Mediterranean and the Strait of Sicily, suitable areas were more extended in July compared to June, whereas the opposite was observed in the Levantine (Figs 1, 7 and 8).

Areas indicated as potential juvenile grounds seem to largely match sardine adult grounds during summer (Tugores Ferra et al., 2010), although juvenile grounds appear less extended and more persistent in terms of locations. This is more clearly evident when it comes to the ‘hot spot’ areas, i.e. areas representing more than 75% probability of suitable conditions. Spatial
overlap is observed also between juvenile grounds during the summer and sardine spawning grounds during December (Tugores et al., 2010).

In addition, visual inspection of sardine and anchovy juvenile grounds (Giannoulaki et al., 2010) reveals a large degree of overlap. This probably reflects the peculiarities of the Mediterranean, where suitable areas favouring the growth of juveniles, the feeding of adults and spawning processes, tend to be localized. They are mostly associated with the existence of point sources of nutrients that enhance productivity locally, e.g. river runoffs or local upwelling. The existence of such limited, suitable areas along with complex oceanographic and topographic characteristics (i.e. irregularities in the coastline and the bathymetry) are likely to prevent long migrations for sardine between spawning, feeding and juvenile grounds.

Current work shows that in the Mediterranean, similar to large upwelling ecosystems and the Northeast Atlantic, the juvenile grounds of sardine are mainly situated in inshore, coastal waters (Barange et al., 1999; Checkley et al., 2009 and references therein). However, in the high productivity ecosystems, extended migrations of juveniles, feeding and spawning adults are observed between offshore and inshore waters (Checkley et al., 2009 and references therein). This is not the case in the Mediterranean, where habitat maps indicate only small-scale movements between spawning, juvenile and adult grounds, always limited to up to 100 m depth (current findings, Tugores Ferrá et al., 2010).

Our data for the North Aegean Sea, the Adriatic Sea and the Gulf of Lions supports the existence of density-dependent effects in the populations of sardine juveniles. An increase in the spatial extent of suitable areas was associated with an increase in the abundance of sardine juveniles. Additional years and data availability from more areas are required to examine this relationship further. However, assessment reports from different parts of the Mediterranean support this relationship between the extent of sardine distribution grounds and the annual variation of species abundance (Bellido et al., 2008; Bigot, 2009; Giannoulaki et al., 2009; Leonori et al., 2009, 2010). In the Gulf of Lions, acoustic abundance estimates were highest in 2005, followed by a sharp decrease in 2006–2010 (Bigot and Roos, 2010). In the North Aegean Sea the highest abundance of sardine was found in 2003, followed by a sharp decrease in 2004 (Giannoulaki et al., 2009). In both areas the stock fluctuations coincided with an expansion of the distribution area in years of high abundance (Giannoulaki et al., 2008, 2009; Bigot and Roos, 2010).

In the western Adriatic Sea, sardine distribution grounds were limited in the north part of the basin when population levels were low in 2005, expanding towards the central and the south part of the basin when abundance was higher in 2007 and 2008 (Leonori et al., 2009, 2010). Moreover, in Spanish waters the high abundance of sardine adults in 2003–2005 was followed by a sharp decrease in 2006–2008, a period presenting pronounced contraction of the distribution area (Bellido et al., 2008; Cardinale et al., 2010).

In various upwelling areas such as South Africa, Japan, California and Peru, the sardine is known to show density-dependent effects with increasing distribution area following an increase in population size (Barange et al., 1999, 2009). Year-to-year variations in the year-class strength of pelagic fishes in upwelling areas are known to be governed by upwelling intensity, whereas density-dependent processes are particularly likely to come into play after favourable environmental conditions have promoted the high year-class strength (Cole and McGlade, 1998). A well established relationship between habitat extent and fish abundance in the Mediterranean could suggest its use as a spatial ecosystem indicator that could flag situations where contraction of the potential suitable habitat implies a subsequent decrease in the population.

Our approach could be a simple way to compare habitat suitability for different species and visualize any possible spatial shifts under the effect of climate warming. These sort of spatial shifts are reflected in the shrinkage and the expansion of suitable areas for species. Habitat modelling results such as the work presented here can provide essential information to identify priority areas for the management of sardine stocks in the Mediterranean. Large-scale conservation planning requires the identification of priority areas or areas of particular concern, such as fish nursery grounds. Most Mediterranean fish stocks are being reported as fully exploited or overexploited (Cardinale et al., 2010), which indicates the need for large-scale fisheries management. The selection of priority areas for the protection of juveniles and maintenance of good population status can increase the effectiveness of large-scale fisheries management. At the same time, incorporating such habitat suitability maps into spatial dynamic models such as Ecospace (Pauly et al., 2000) can result into an effective, highly dynamic management tool.
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